*.	Message	JECKET			Page 1 of 3		
		Administrative designs of the second		•			
		(IR) (FBI) b6					
	From:	(Div13) (FBI)					
$\cup$	Sent:	Monday, May 10, 2004 12:26 PM			-1		
	To:	HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI)	•	<b>-</b>	C -1		
	Cc:	BATTLE, FRANKIE (Div13) (FBI) (Div13) (FBI): CUMMINGS, ARTHUR M. (Div13)	(Div13) (FBI) (FBI)	(IR) (FBI)	(Div13) (FBI); -		
•	Subject:	Instructions to GTMO interrogators			;		
		DRCON,NOFORN 315N-MM-C99102		• • .			
	TJ,				·		
	I will have t	to do some digging into old files		XXI 330 2337 113 11075 3	MIN BUTEAU POIICY AND HOL.		
ĺ	oeviate froi	ก แลเ			I went to		
	GTMO with	early on and we discu	with General's Dunley	ev & Miller explainir	with b5 -1		
	Enforceme	nt techniques) vs. DoD. Both agre-	ed the Bureau has the	ir way of dong busin	less and non use their to -1,2		
	marching c	o accomplish. It was our mission to	gather critical intellig	ence and evidence	h Generals believed they b7c -1,2		
		in furtherance of FBI cases. and how they were not effective or	In my weekly meetin	gs with DOJ <u>we oft∈</u>	n discussed (SES),		
		SESY (now SES	at the time) and	(SES App	ointee) all from DOJ be an issue in the military		
<i>)</i> .	Criminal Di commissio	vision attended meetings with FBI. n cases. I know prough	We all agreed It this to the attention (		DE dit issue in the mandry		
	One snecif	ic example was Once the Bur	eau provide DoD with	the findings	e out of him! We		
b5 -1 b6 -1,4,5	were given	a so called deadline to use our tra	ue expeditiously their iditional methods. One	ce our timeline	was		
b7C -1,4,5 b7D -1	up to	ok the reigns. We stepped out of the at the direction of myself.	ne picture and ta and BAU UC	n the operation	receive IRs on the results		
b7F -1	of the proc			<u></u>			
_	I went to GTMO on one occasion to specifically address the information coming from						
	We (I	DoD 3 Star Geoff Miller, FBI, CITF	etc) had a VTC v el produced was nothi	vith the Pentagon Di Ing more than what	-Pit dot azıtıd z <u>irribie</u>		
	investigativ	re techniques (following the trail of	the detainee in and ou	ut of the US compan	ed to the trail of was		
	providing	portion of the priefing.		was pres	ent at the rentagon side of		
	information	After allowing to The conversations were somewhat	produce nothing, I fin nat heated.	agreed with me.	finally admitted the bb -1		
	information was the same info the Bureau obtained. It still did not prevent them from continuing the						
		DOJ was with me at GTMO					
	Bottom line	e is FBI personnel have not been in	volved in any method	s of interrogation the otherwise, follow F	at deviate from our policy. BI/DOJ policy just as you		
	The specific guidance we have given has always been no Miranda, otherwise, follow FBI/DOJ policy just as you would in your field office. Use common sense. Utilize our methods that are proven (Reed school, etc).						
	If you wou	ld like to call me to discuss this on	the telephone i can be	e reached at	b2 -1		
. }			•	<u> Louisse ou constante</u>	- Commence of		
). ).		-Original Message			•		
,				gran otas og minorateraturaturaturaturaturaturaturaturaturatu			
	د سدسو د خور ی	SEÇRE		HERBIN TR UNCLAS			
•	9/26/2004	CPWSBIRISD BE LETS BE BE	9CB/ 8dd   94-CV-4152	WHERE SHOWN OTHE			
		86430M. 1'e (C) 8651483167 CM: 10-59-50	ŽĢ		DETAINEES-2709		

	Message	JEGRÉTI		Page 2 of 3
- -	Sent: Mon To:	RRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI)  day, May 10, 2004 9:21 AM  (Div13) (FBI) b6 -1  RE: pls confirm b7C -1		
<i>.)</i>	SENSITIVE NON-REC	E BUT UNCLASSIFIED ORD		Referral/Direct
	note or brie	nis information, now we are trying to go beve efing paper to our personnel our position ur traditional methods of building trust and a		n in an EC, memo, that we were
	Fro Sen To: Cc: (Div	t: Monday, May 10, 2004 10:52 AM b7c HARRINGTON, T J. (DIv13) (FBI)	-	BOWMAN, MARION E.
		NSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED N-RECORD		•
eferra	Bur ner 1/Direct GTI obta suc	MO were not in the practice of the using ained from these interrogations were cessful for many years obtaining confession	ogy. Our formal guidance has he manner that they would in to divised that the LEA (Law Enformant were of the BAU explained s via non-confrontational inter-	he field. b6 -2 rement Agencies) at b7C -2 opinion results FBI has been viewing techniques. b5 -1
	We duri	spoke to FBI OGC with our concerns. Lalseing detainee meetings with	o brought these matters to the expres	attention of DOJ 50 -2 s their concerns to 57C -2
	TJ	has a copy of all the information regard	ling the BAU LHM. I believe s	he has provided that to b6 -1 b7C -1
	l m. (5/1	ay have more specific information in my des	k at HQ. I will search what I h	ave when I return
		Original Message From: HARRINGTON, T J. (Div13) (FBI) Sent: Monday, May 10, 2004 4:33 AM To: BATTLE, FRANKIE (Div13) (FBI); (Div13) (FBI) Subject: FW: pls confirm	(Div13) (FBI)	b6 -1 b7C -1
		SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD		
. ]		Please review our control files, did we pOriginal Message From: Caproni, Valerie E. (Div09) (FBI) Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2004 2:31 PM To: (Div09) (FBI); HAR		b6 -1 b7C -1
	9/26/2004	/		DETAINEES-2710

Message	<b>LÈGRE</b> TI	C.	Page 3 of 3
÷ ~	(Div13) (FBI) (Div13) (Subject: pls confirm	iv13) (FBI) b6 -1 b7C -1	
(·)	SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD	22.1	
	I think I've heard this several times, but let		O or ima about when
•	Has there been any written guidance giver they should "stand clear" b/c of the interrog	n to FBI agents in either GTM gation techniques being used	O Di liad about vinere
			b5 -1
	_		

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1 SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN



9/26/2004

DETAINEES-2711